Literature Review

Worksite Exercise Programs

Are they an effective control

for musculoskeletal disorders of the upper extremities? By Raymond W. McGorry and Theodore K. Courtney

MUSCULOSKELETAL DISORDERS (MSDs) have been associated with occupational and nonoccupational risk factors. Force, repetition, awkward (nonneutral) postures and vibration are among the physical risk factors for the development of MSDs [NIOSH(b) 1; NRC 1]; when found in combination, these factors have been reported to pose a greater risk than the application of high forces alone [Silverstein, et al(b) 343]. Serious workplace injuries due to repetitive motion resulted in an estimated \$2.8 billion in direct costs alone in U.S. industry in 2002 (Liberty Mutual 1).

Recommended approaches for controlling workrelated MSDs of the upper extremities (MSDUEs) have included engineering and administrative controls. Engineering controls include modification of the physical work environment such as workstation and tool redesign. Administrative controls include methods training, job enlargement, job rotation and work scheduling [OSHA 1; NIOSH(a) 1; GAO 1].

Worksite exercise programs have also been suggested and implemented specifically as a preventive measure against MSDUEs. For example, guidelines published by Worksafe Australia include exercise as a potential prevention strategy (NOHSC 1). More recently, the Health Council of the Netherlands encouraged the use of physical conditioning and selective training of muscle function as a control approach, in addition to "elimination of the causative strain" (Willems 1969).

The authors first conducted a review of the literature on the effectiveness of workplace exercise programs in 1995 (McGorry and Courtney 22; Table 1). It was concluded that the evidence was insufficient to support the use of worksite exercise programs as a sole intervention. However, the evidence suggested that some comprehensive multidisciplinary programs (including engineering and administrative controls) were effective in reducing incidence of work-related MSDUEs, and worksite exercise programs were included in several of these programs. Based on the review of the literature available at that time, the authors concluded that multidisciplinary interventions were the most appropriate approach to the control of work-related MSDUEs, and that no evidence precluded the use of an appropriately designed and monitored exercise program as part of a comprehensive effort.

A decade has passed since that initial review. In the interim, guidelines from occupational safety and

health agencies in Australia and the Netherlands have suggested exercise as a prophylactic approach to MSDUEs (NOHSC 1; Willems 1969). This led the authors to consider whether more recent developments in the scientific literature support such recommendations. This article provides an updated review of the scientific literature on the efficacy of workplace exercise programs to provide readers with the most recent information available in order to make better-informed decisions regarding exercise as a control strategy for MSDUEs.

The New Literature

As defined for this review, worksite exercise programs take place on site at an office or manufacturing facility. They must include an upper extremity exercise component, although not necessarily to the exclusion of other exercises. Such programs are conducted during regular working hours, and are usually implemented with the goal of mitigating the Raymond W. McGorry, M.S., P.T., has been a researcher at the Liberty Mutual Research Institute for Safety in Hopkinton, MA, since 1993. His research interests include biomechanics; slips and falls; force measurement during hand tool use; and development of rehabilitation evaluation techniques. Before joining the institute, he worked as a physical therapist and as a biomedical engineer. McGorry holds a B.S. in Biology from Villanova University, a graduate certificate in physical therapy from Emory University and an M.S. in Bioengineering from Clemson University. He completed a postgraduate fellowship in rehabilitation engineering at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

Theodore K. Courtney, M.S., CSP, is director of the Quantitative Analysis Unit at the Liberty Mutual Research Institute for Safety, and an instructor on injury, safety and ergonomics at the Harvard School of Public Health, Boston. A recipient of the Ergonomics Society's William Floyd Medal for his work in injury prevention, Courtney holds a B.S. in Human Factors from Georgia Tech and an M.S. in Industrial and Operations Engineering from the University of Michigan. A member of ASSE's Greater Boston Chapter, Courtney also serves on the ASSE Foundation Research Committee and on the editorial board of the Journal of Environmental and Occupational Hygiene. Abstract: Worksite exercise programs have been implemented as a preventive measure against musculoskeletal disorders of the upper extremities. An initial literature review in 1995 found insufficient evidence to support such programs as a sole intervention, but found some evidence supporting their use as part of a comprehensive multidisciplinary program. This article provides an updated review of six other studies that have investigated the effects of these programs. Despite a decade of additional research, the results remain inconclusive. This lack of a consensus illustrates the need for well-designed studies with clearly defined interventions and effective control of confounding factors. More rigorous methods could improve the understanding of the role of worksite exercise.

effects of static postures or repetitive motions involved in a worker's job. Participation may be mandatory or voluntary. The exercise programs may focus on specific joints or muscle groups, or may be more general in nature. Some programs involve a break(s) from the work routine, while other programs may take place at the beginning of the shift or workday. The exercise programs may be conducted on the shopfloor, in the office, at the worker's desk or in a common area.

Two online search engines were used to conduct the literature search: PubMed (<u>www.ncbi.nlm.nih</u>.<u>gov/entrez/query.fcgi?DB=pubmed</u>) and Ergonomics Abstracts Online (<u>http://ergonomics.metapress</u>.<u>com</u>). The criteria for inclusion in the review were: 1) the report was published in a peer-reviewed journal indexed by at least one of the above databases; 2) the exercise program included an upper extremity component; 3) the exercise program was conducted at the workplace; and 4) the study included at least one outcome measure related to upper extremity symptoms, disease or disability.

Satisfaction of all four criteria was required for inclusion in the review. The authors identified and reviewed five recent studies that have investigated the effect of a worksite exercise program, either alone or as part of a more comprehensive intervention, as well as one earlier study not included in the initial review. A summary of the results of the review is presented in Table 2.

Hilyer Study: Firefighters

Hilyer, et al conducted a six-month study of an exercise program emphasizing improved flexibility with 469 professional firefighters (Hilyer, et al 631). Participation was mandatory and group assignment was random, based on the fire station at which they worked. The control and exercise groups had 218 and 251 firefighters, respectively. The program was designed to promote flexibility of shoulder muscle groups as well as the low back and hamstrings. Four flexibility tests were conducted before and after introduction of the exercise program: 1) a sit-and-reach test for lumbar and hamstring flexibility; 2) a standing trunk rotation test; 3) a shoulder flexion/extension range of motion measurement; and 4) a knee flexion/extension range of motion measurement.

Comparisons of the flexibility test results showed a significant overall increase in flexibility among the experimental group and a particularly large increase in shoulder flexion and extension flexibility. At a two-year follow-up, no dropouts were reported from either study group. The two groups had no significant differences in injury rates or medical costs. However, the cost of lost time was significantly less—66.5% lower for the exercise group—suggesting a decrease in severity or associated disability when an injury did occur. Lost-time costs were not broken down by body part or nature of injury, which limits the ability to draw specific conclusions regarding program effectiveness for prevention of MSDUEs.

Genaidy Study: Meatpacking Plant

Genaidy, et al reported on the effect of short work breaks (microbreaks that included upper extremity stretches) on perceived discomfort among workers at a meatpacking plant (Genaidy, et al 326). Twentyeight meat cutters participated in the study that evaluated effects of the intervention over a 2-week period. The workers were taught a psychophysical methodology that involved self-selecting stretching breaks based on discomfort in a body part. The workers were taught isometric static stretching techniques for the upper extremities, trunk and lower extremities, and were instructed to take breaks as often as necessary, but to limit break length to 2 minutes and no more that 24 total minutes per shift.

Statistically significant decreases were found in discomfort ratings reported for the shoulder, upper arm and lower arm after introduction of the program. Each shift, the workers took an average of 2.1 microbreaks with a combined duration of 48 seconds. The study lacked a control group, however, relying instead on a pre-test/post-test design; this increases the potential for a placebo effect to bias the results. The intervention period was very brief as well, and the number of subjects was small. In addition, the study did not include analysis of injury incidence or the cost effectiveness of the intervention.

van der Heuval Study: Computer Operators

van der Heuval, et al conducted an eight-week study comparing rest break alone versus exercise and rest break among 268 computer operators with upper extremity or neck Table 1

Exercise Study Characteristics & Findings, McGorry & Courtney, 1995

StudyExercise TypeOutcome MeasuresFinding?SignificanceLaPorte, 1966UF & otherVisual fatigueNNTIndustry: Post officeOther interventions: NoneOther interventions: NoneCognitive fatigueYNTStudy length: Single eventHansford, 1986UE onlyRadial & ulnar arteryYNNTIndustry: Surgical products mfg.Other interventions: Exercisebreak tested vs. rest break; noother interventions: ExerciseNNNStudy length: 2 weeksOther interventions: cribed.UE onlyRadial & ulnar arteryNNNIndustry: Surgical products mfg.UE onlyOther interventions:Work-related medicalYNTSubjects: 36 employees in microswaggingOther interventions:Conservative therapy; jobwork-related medicalYNTSubjects: 30 employees in microswaggingDet exite therapy; jobmodificationwork-stationNTSubjects: 37 asemblers and packersUE onlyCase incidenceYNTSubjects: 178 asemblers and packersUE onlyBody part discomfortYNSubjects: 14 exercisers; 16 controlsOther interventions: NoneHand MVENNTSubjects: 1993UE orlyOther interventions: PhysicalHand MVENNTSubjects: 1993UE orlyOther interventions: NoneHand MVENNTSubjects: 1993UE orlyOther interventions: NoneHand MVENNSubject				Positive	Statistical
LaPorte, 1966UE & otherVisual fatigueNNTSubjects: 40 letter sorters; 40 job and age- matched controlsOther interventions: NoneCognitive fatigueYNTStudy length: Single eventHand MVEYNTNTHansford, 1986UE onlyRadial & ulnar artery flow velocityY, radial & ulnar artery systolic blood pressureY, radial & ulnar artery systolic blood pressureY, radial & ulnar artery systolic blood pressureNNStudy length: 2 weeksUE onlyUE onlyWork-related medical workstationYNTIndustry: Surgical products mfg. Subjects: 33 employees in microswagging departmentUE onlyWork-related medical modification; workstation modificationYNTStudy length: 3 monthsUE onlyOther interventions: Job rotation; PPE; workstation modificationCase incidence systolic bloodYNTSubjects: Approx. 15 racquet stringers Study length: 1 yearUE onlyUE onlyBody part discomfort ThermographyYNWilliams, 1989 Subjects: 17 & sasemblers and packersUE onlyUE onlyHand MVE ThermographyNNTSubjects: 14 exercisers; 16 controls study length: 1 yearUE other therapy; work methods change; workstation modificationMotor NCVNNMilliams, 1989 Sudy length: 1 yearUE onlyUE onlyHand MVE ThermographyNNTTodustry: Garment mfg. Subjects: 78 opponeUE onlyCase incidence ThermographyN </th <th>Study</th> <th>Exercise Type</th> <th>Outcome Measures</th> <th>Finding?</th> <th>Significance</th>	Study	Exercise Type	Outcome Measures	Finding?	Significance
Industry: Post office Subjects: 40 letter sorters; 40 job and age- matched controls Termor Y NT Study length: Single event H Ransford, 1986 UE only Other interventions: Exercise break tested vs. rest break; no systolic blood pressure V Subjects: 16 employees suture mfg. Study length: 2 weeks UE only Other interventions: Exercise break tested vs. rest break; no systolic blood pressure V Iutz, 1987 Industry: Surgical products mfg. UE only Other interventions: Conservative therapy; job modification; workstation modification workstation modification interventions: Job rotations; Job rotations; Job rotations; Job rotation; PPE; workstation modification therapy; job modification therapy; job modification therapy; job modification workstation modification therapy; job rotation; PPE; workstation modification therapy is job; company selected Study length: 1 year W III and MVE N NT Industry: Early 1989 UE only Other interventions: None Hand MVE N NT Subjects: 16 controls (controls is controls; company selected Study length: 1 year W III workstation modification Therapy, work methods change; workstation modification Therapy; work methods change; workstation modific	LaPorte, 1966	UE & other	Visual fatigue	N	NT
Subjects: 40 letter sorters; 40 job and age- matched controlsHand MVEYNTStudy length: Single eventUE onlyRadial & ulnar artery flow velocityYNTHansford, 1986UE onlyRadial & ulnar artery systolic blood pressureYY, radial onlyStudy length: 2 weeksother interventions: Exercise break tested vs. rest break; no other described.Radial & ulnar artery systolic blood pressureNStudy length: 2 weeksother interventions: Conservative therapy; job modification; workstation modification; workstation modificationYNTIndustry: Surgical products mfg. Subjects: Approx. 15 racquet stringers Study length: 3 yearsUE onlyWork-related medical vorkstation modificationYNTSilverstein, 1988 Study length: 1 yearUE onlyOther interventions: Physical therapyBody part discomfortYNTWilliams, 1989 Study length: 1 wearUE onlyHand MVENNTWilliams, 1993 Study length: 1 yearUE eonlyHand MVENNTMulter, 197UE & other other interventions: NoneCase incidenceYNTSubjects: 76 controls (similar jobs); company selectedUE eonlyHand MVENNTSubjects: 10 exercisers; 16 controls (similar jobs); company selectedUE & otherCase incidenceYNTSubjects: 70 oparatorsUE & other workstation modificationCase incidenceYNTSubjects: 70 oparatorsUE & otherCase incidenceYNT </td <td>Industry: Post office</td> <td>Other interventions: None</td> <td>Cognitive fatigue</td> <td>Y</td> <td>NT</td>	Industry: Post office	Other interventions: None	Cognitive fatigue	Y	NT
matched controlsTremorYNTStudy length: Single eventUE onlyRadial & ulnar artery flow velocityYY, radial onlyIndustry: Surgical products mfg. Study length: 2 weeksUE onlyRadial & ulnar artery flow velocityYNStudy length: 2 weeksbreak tested vs. rest break; no systolic blood pressureNNStudy length: 2 weeksUE onlyWork-related medical visits, MSD symptomYNTIndustry: Surgical products mfg. Subjects: 33 employees in microswagging department study length: 3 monthsUE onlyWork-related medical visits, MSD symptomYNTSubjects: 78 protsT account float the releventions: Conservative therapy; job modification; workstation modificationCase incidence symptomsYNTSubjects: 178 asemblers and packers Study length: 1 yearUE onlyBody part discomfort Therwortions: Physical therapyYNSubjects: 178 asemblers and packers Study length: 1 yearUE onlyHand MVE Phalen's Test ThermographyNNTIndustry: Carment mfg. Subjects: 14 exercisers; 16 controls Study length: 1 yearUE onlyCase incidence Phalen's Test ThermographyNNTIndustry: Telephone company Subjects: 500 operators Study length: 1 wearUE onlyMotor NCVNNIndustry: Telephone company Subjects: 500 operators Study length: 1 wearUE onlyMotor NCVNNIndustry: Telephone company Subjects: 500 operators Study length: 1 wearUE onlyMotor	Subjects: 40 letter sorters; 40 job and age-		Hand MVE	Y	NT
Study length: Single eventImage of the constraint of the co	matched controls		Tremor	Y	NT
Hansford, 1986UE onlyRadial & ulnar artery flow velocityYY, radial only radial onlyIndustry: Surgical products mfg. Study length: 2 weeksOther interventions: Exercise other described.Radial & ulnar artery flow velocityNNLutz, 1987UE onlyWork-related medical visits, MSD symptomYNTIndustry: Surgical products mfg. Study length: 3 monthsUE only modificationWork-related medical visits, MSD symptomYNTSawer, 1987UE & other rodificationCase incidenceYNTIndustry: Sports products mfg. Subjects: Approx. 15 racquet stringers study length: 3 yearsOther interventions: Job rotation; PPE; workstation modificationSymptomsYNTSilverstein, 1988UE only Other interventions: Physical therapyBody part discomfort Phalen's TestYNIndustry: Garment mfg. Subjects: 178 assemblers and packers Study length: 1 yearUE onlyHand MVE Phalen's TestNNTWilliams, 1989UE only Other interventions: NoneHand MVENNTIndustry: Garment mfg. Subjects: 178 assemblers and packers Study length: 1 yearOther interventions: NoneHand MVENNTSubjects: 1079UE only Other interventions: NoneHand MVENNTIndustry: Telephone company Study length: 1 weeksOther interventions: Physical therapy; work methods change; workstation modificationMotor NCVNNIndustry: Telephone company Subjects: 50 operators thudy length:	Study length: Single event				
Industry: Surgical products mfg. Subjects: 16 employees suture mfg. Subjects: 16 employees suture mfg.Other interventions: Exercise break tested vs. rest break; no other described.flow velocity Radial & ulnar artery systolic blood pressureNLutz, 1987 Industry: Surgical products mfg. Subjects: 33 employees in microswagging departmentUE only Other interventions: Conservative therapy; job modification; workstation modification; workstation modification; workstation modification; workstation subjects: 32 employees in microswagging departmentNTSawyer, 1987 Subjects: Approx. 15 racquet stringers Study length: 3 wearsUE & other Other interventions: Job rotation, PPE; workstation modificationCase incidence SymptomsYSilverstein, 1988 Study length: 1 yearUE only Other interventions: Physical therapyBody part discomfort ThermographyYNWilliams, 1989 Study length: 1 yearUE only Other interventions: NoneHand MVE Phalen's Test ThermographyNNTWilliams, 1989 Study length: 1 yearUE only Other interventions: NoneHand MVE Phalen's Test ThermographyNNTSubjects: 510 controls (similar jobs): company selected Study length: 1 yearUE only Other interventions: Physical therapy; work methods change; Work related nedical YNNTIndustry: Telephone company Subjects: 500 operators Study length: 1 yearUE only Other interventions: NoneMotor NCV Hand MVE Hand MVENNIndustry: Telephone company Subjects: 500 operators Study length: 1 yearUE only Other in	Hansford, 1986	UE only	Radial & ulnar artery	Y	Y, radial only
Subjects: 16 employees suture mfg. Study length: 2 weeksbreak tested vs. rest break; no other described.Radial & ulnar artery systolic blood pressureNStudy length: 2 weeksUE onlyWork-related medicalYNTIndustry: Surgical products mfg. Subjects: 33 employees in microswagging departmentOther interventions: conservative therapy; job modification; workstation modificationWork-related medical visits, MSD symptomNTStudy length: 3 monthsConservative therapy; job modification; workstation rotation; PPE; workstation modificationCase incidence SymptomsYNTIndustry: Sports products mfg. Study length: 3 yearsUE only other interventions: Job rotation; PPE; workstation modificationBody part discomfortYNSilverstein, 1988 Study length: 1 yearUE only UE onlyBody part discomfortYNWilliams, 1989 Study length: 1 yearUE only UE onlyHand MVE Phalen's Test ThermographyNNTTadano, 1990 Study length: 1 yearUE & other vorkstation modificationCase incidenceYNTTadano, 1990 Industry: Telephone company Study length: 1 yearUE & other vorkstation modificationCase incidenceYNTThemographyUE work methods change; Study length: 1 yearUE onlyMotor NCVNNTodano, 1990 Study length: 1 yearUE & other vorkstation modificationCase incidenceYNTTomas, 1993 Study length: 1 yearUE onlyMotor NCVNNN </td <td>Industry: Surgical products mfg.</td> <td>Other interventions: Exercise</td> <td>flow velocity</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Industry: Surgical products mfg.	Other interventions: Exercise	flow velocity		
Study length: 2 weeksother described.systolic blood pressureLutz, 1987UE onlyWork-related medicalYNTIndustry: Surgical products mfg. Subjects: 33 employees in microswagging departmentConservative therapy; job modification; workstationvisits, MSD symptomNTStudy length: 3 monthsmodification; workstationSymptomsYNTSubjects: Approx. 15 racquet stringers study length: 3 yearsUE & otherCase incidenceYNTSilverstein, 1988UE only other interventions: Prey, workstationBody part discomfortYNIndustry: Dental floss mfg. Study length: 1 yearUE only other interventions: Physical therapyBody part discomfortYNWilliams, 1989 Study length: 10 weeksUE only other interventions: NoneHand MVE Phalen's Test ThermographyNNTTadano, 1990 Itudy length: 1 yearUE & other workstationCase incidenceYNTMultary: Study length: 1 yearUE & other workstationCase incidenceYNTStudy length: 10 weeksUE workCase incidenceYNTTadano, 1990 Itudy length: 1 yearUE & other workstation modificationNTNTMotor NCVNNNNIndustry: Stephone company Study length: 1 yearUE onlyMotor NCVNNMotor NCVNNNNIndustry: Hardware mfg. Study length: 1 yearUE onlyMotor NCVNNStudy l	Subjects: 16 employees suture mfg.	break tested vs. rest break; no	Radial & ulnar artery	Ν	N
Lutz, 1987UE only Other interventions: Conservative therapy; job modificationWork-related medical visits, MSD symptomYNTIndustry: Surgical products mfg. Study length: 3 monthsOther interventions: modificationConservative therapy; job modificationVisits, MSD symptomNTSawyer, 1987UE & otherCase incidenceYNTIndustry: Sports products mfg. Subjects: Approx. 15 racquet stringers Study length: 3 yearsOther interventions: Job rotation; PPE; workstation modificationSymptomsYNTSilverstein, 1988UE only Other interventions: Physical therapyBody part discomfortYNWilliams, 1989UE only Other interventions: NoneHand MVE Phalen's Test ThermographyNNTIndustry: Garment mfg. Subjects: 14 exercisers; 16 controls (similar jobs); company selected Study length: 1 weaksUE other Other interventions: Physical therapy, work methods change; work station modificationCase incidence YYNTIndustry: Telephone company Subjects: 500 operators Study length: 1 yearUE other Other interventions: Physical therapy, work methods change; work station modificationCase incidence YYNTIndustry: Hardware mfg. Subjects: 7 symptomatic controls; company selectedUE onlyMotor NCVNNIndustry: Hardware mfg. Subjects: 7 symptomatic controls; company selectedUE onlyMotor NCVNNIndustry: Hardware mfg. Subjects: 7 symptomatic controls; company selectedUE onlyMotor NCV	Study length: 2 weeks	other described.	systolic blood pressure		
Industry: Surgical products mfg. Subjects: 33 employees in microswagging department Study length: 3 monthsOther interventions: Conservative therapy; job modificationvisits, MSD symptomSubjects: 33 employees in microswagging department Study length: 3 monthsUE & other Other interventions: Job rotation; PPE; workstation modificationCase incidence SymptomsYNTSubjects: Approx. 15 racquet stringers Study length: 1 yearUE only Other interventions: Physical therapyBody part discomfort The MWE Phalen's Test ThermographyYNSubjects: 178 assemblers and packers Study length: 1 yearUE only Other interventions: NoneBody part discomfort ThermographyYNMilliams, 1989 Subjects: 500 operators Study length: 1 yearUE other Other interventions: Physical therapy work methods change; Study length: 1 yearUE dother Other interventions: NoneCase incidence Phalen's Test ThermographyYNTTadano, 1990 Subjects: 500 operators Study length: 1 yearUE dother work methods change; Study length: 1 yearCase incidenceYNTThomas, 1993 Subjects: 7 symptomatic controls; company selectedUE only Other interventions: NoneMotor NCV Hand MVE Hand MVENNSubjects: 7 symptomatic controls; company selectedUE only Other interventions: NoneMotor NCV Hand MVENNSubjects: 7 symptomatic controls; company selectedUE only Other interventions: NoneMotor NCV Hand MVENNSubjects: 7 symptomatic controls; company 	Lutz, 1987	UE only	Work-related medical	Y	NT
Subjects: 33 employees in microswagging departmentConservative therapy; job modification; workstationImage: Conservative therapy; job modification; workstationStudy length: 3 monthsConservative therapy; job modificationCase incidenceYNTSawyer, 1987UE & otherCase incidenceYNTIndustry: Sports products mfg. Subjects: Approx. 15 racquet stringers study length: 3 yearsUE only Other interventions: Job rotation; PPE; workstationBody part discomfortYNSilverstein, 1988 Subjects: 178 assemblers and packers study length: 1 yearUE only Other interventions: Physical therapyBody part discomfortYNWilliams, 1989 Subjects: 14 exercisers; 16 controls (similar jobs); company selectedUE other Other interventions: Physical therapy; work methods change; study length: 1 yearUE & other Other interventions: Physical therapy; work methods change; study length: 1 yearUE only Other interventions: Physical therapy; work methods change; study length: 1 yearUE only Other interventions: NoneCase incidence Phalen's Test Phalen's Test Phalen's TestNNTIndustry: Telephone company Subjects: 500 operators Study length: 1 yearUE only Other interventions: NoneMotor NCV Hand MVENNIndustry: Hardware mfg. Subjects: 7 symptomatic cevercisers; 7 nonsymptomatic controls; company selectedUE only Other interventions: NoneMotor NCV Hand MVENNSubjects: 7 symptomatic cevercisers; 7 nonsymptomatic controls; company selectedUE only NMotor NCV <b< td=""><td>Industry: Surgical products mfg.</td><td>Other interventions:</td><td>visits, MSD symptom</td><td></td><td></td></b<>	Industry: Surgical products mfg.	Other interventions:	visits, MSD symptom		
departmentmodification; workstation modificationmodificationStudy length: 3 monthsmodification; modificationCase incidenceYSawyer, 1987UE & otherCase incidenceYIndustry: Sports products mfg. Study length: 3 yearsUE only Other interventions: Job rotation, PPE; workstation modificationBody part discomfortYSilverstein, 1988 Study length: 1 yearUE only Other interventions: Physical therapyBody part discomfortYNTIndustry: Garment mfg. Study length: 1 wearUE only Other interventions: NoneHand MVE Phalen's Test ThermographyNNTIndustry: Garment mfg. Study length: 10 weeksUE & other Other interventions: Physical therapy, work methods change; workstation modificationCase incidence YNNTIndustry: Telephone company Study length: 1 yearUE only Other interventions: Physical therapy, work methods change; workstation modificationCase incidence YNNTIndustry: Telephone company Study length: 1 yearUE only Other interventions: Physical therapy, work methods change; workstation modificationMotor NCV NNNIndustry: Hardware mfg. Subjects: 7 symptomatic exercisers; 7 nonsymptomatic controls; company selectedUE only Other interventions: NoneMotor NCV NNNNo Body part discomfortNNNNSubjects: 7 symptomatic controls; company selectedUE onlyMotor NCV NNNSubjects: 7 symptomatic controls; company	Subjects: 33 employees in microswagging	Conservative therapy; job			
Study length: 3 monthsmodificationCase incidenceYNTSawyer, 1987UE & otherCase incidenceYNTIndustry: Sports products mfg. Subjects: Approx. 15 racquet stringers Study length: 3 yearsOther interventions: Job rotation, PPE; workstation modificationSymptomsYNTSilverstein, 1988UE only Other interventions: Physical study length: 1 yearBody part discomfort Phalen's Test ThermographyYNWilliams, 1989UE only Other interventions: NoneHand MVE Phalen's Test ThermographyNNTIndustry: Telephone company Subjects: 500 operators Study length: 1 yearUE & other Other interventions: Physical therapy; work methods change; Study length: 1 yearUE workstation Motor NCVNNTIndustry: Telephone company Subjects: 500 operators Study length: 1 yearUE only UE workstation modificationMotor NCVNNIndustry: Hardware mfg. Subjects: 7 symptomatic cexcrisers; 7 nonsymptomatic controls; company selectedUE onlyMotor NCVNNSubjects: 7 symptomatic cexcrisers; 7 nonsymptomatic controls; company selectedUE onlyMotor NCVNNSubjects: 7 symptomatic cexcrisers; 7 nonsymptomatic cexcrisers; 7 selectedOther interventions: NoneMotor NCVNNSubjects: 7 symptomatic cexcrisers; 7 nonsymptomatic controls; company selectedUE onlyMotor NCVNNSubjects: 7 symptomatic cexcrisers; 7 nonsymptomatic controls; company selectedUE onlyMotor NCV	department	modification; workstation			
Sawyer, 1987UE & otherCase incidenceYNTIndustry: Sports products mfg. Subjects: Approx. 15 racquet stringersOther interventions: Job rotation; PPE; workstation modificationSymptomsYNTSubjects: Approx. 15 racquet stringersmodificationSymptomsYNTSilverstein, 1988UE only Other interventions: Physical therapyBody part discomfortYNSubjects: 178 assemblers and packersUE only Other interventions: Physical therapyBody part discomfortYNWilliams, 1989UE only Other interventions: NoneHand MVE Phalen's Test ThermographyNNTIndustry: Garment mfg. Subjects: 10 weeksUE & other Other interventions: Physical therapy; work methods change; workstation modificationCase incidenceYNTTadano, 1990 Subjects: 500 operators Study length: 1 yearUE only Other interventions: Physical therapy; work methods change; workstation modificationMotor NCVNNThomas, 1993 Subjects: 7 symptomatic exercisers; 7 nonsymptomatic controls; company selectedUE onlyMotor NCVNNSubjects: 7 symptomatic exercisers; Promutic exercisers; 7 nonsymptomatic controls; company selectedUE onlyMotor NCVNNSubjects: 7 symptomatic exercisers; PromosOther interventions: NoneHand MVE Body part discomfortNNSubjects: 7 symptomatic exercisers; PromosOther interventions: NoneHand MVE Body part discomfortNNSubjects: 7 s	Study length: 3 months	modification			
Industry: Sports products mfg. Subjects: Approx. 15 racquet stringers Study length: 3 yearsOther interventions: Job rotation, PPE; workstation modificationSymptomsYNTSilverstein, 1988 Subjects: 178 assemblers and packers Subjects: 178 assemblers and packers Study length: 1 yearUE only Other interventions: Physical therapyBody part discomfort Phalen's Test ThermographyYNWilliams, 1989 Subjects: 14 exercisers; 16 controls (similar jobs); company selected Study length: 1 yearUE only Other interventions: NoneHand MVE Phalen's Test ThermographyNNTTadano, 1990 Industry: Telephone company Subjects: 500 operators Study length: 1 yearUE only Other interventions: Physical therapy; work methods change; workstation modificationCase incidenceYNTThomas, 1993 Subjects: 7 symptomatic exercisers; 7 nonsymptomatic controls; company selectedUE only Other interventions: NoneMotor NCV Hand MVE Industry: Telephone company solycets in the reapy workstation modificationNNThomas, 1993 Subjects: 7 symptomatic exercisers; 7 nonsymptomatic controls; company selectedUE only Other interventions: NoneMotor NCV Hand MVE Industry Hand MVE INNNSubjects: 7 symptomatic exercisers; 7 nonsymptomatic controls; company selectedOther interventions: NoneMotor NCV Hand MVE INNNSubjects: 7 symptomatic exercisers; 7 nonsymptomatic controls; company selectedUE only INNNNSubjects: 7 symptomatic exercisers; 7 nonsymptomatic controls; company<	Sawyer, 1987	UE & other	Case incidence	Y	NT
Subjects: Approx. 15 racquet stringers rotation; PPE; workstation Image: Constraint of the strength of the streng	Industry: Sports products mfg.	Other interventions: Job	Symptoms	Y	NT
Study length: 3 years modification Image: Constraint of the system	Subjects: Approx. 15 racquet stringers	rotation; PPE; workstation	r .		
Silverstein, 1988 UE only Body part discomfort Y N Industry: Dental floss mfg. Other interventions: Physical therapy Body part discomfort Y N Subjects: 178 assemblers and packers UE only Hand MVE N N Williams, 1989 UE only Hand MVE N NT Industry: Carment mfg. Other interventions: None Phalen's Test N NT Subjects: 14 exercisers; 16 controls Other interventions: Physical therapy, work methods change; Case incidence Y NT Industry: Telephone company UE workstation modification Motor NCV N N Industry: Hardware mfg. UE only UE only Motor NCV N N Subjects: 7 symptomatic exercisers; 7 nonsymptomatic controls; company selected UE only Motor NCV N N Subjects: 7 symptomatic controls; company selected UE only Motor NCV N N Subjects: 7 symptomatic exercisers; 7 nonsymptomatic controls; company selected Study length: 8 weeks N N	Study length: 3 years	modification			
Industry: Dental floss mfg. Subjects: 178 assemblers and packers Study length: 1 yearOther interventions: Physical therapyHand MVENWilliams, 1989 Williams, 1989 Subjects: 14 exercisers; 16 controls (similar jobs); company selected Study length: 10 weeksUE only Other interventions: NoneHand MVENNTTadano, 1990 Industry: Telephone company Subjects: 500 operators Study length: 1 yearUE & other Other interventions: Physical therapy; work methods change; workstation modificationCase incidenceYNTThomas, 1993 Subjects: 7 symptomatic exercisers; 7 nonsymptomatic controls; company selectedUE onlyMotor NCVNNSubjects: 7 symptomatic exercisers; Price 18 weeksOther interventions: NoneHand MVEIN	Silverstein, 1988	UE only	Body part discomfort	Y	N
Subjects: 178 assemblers and packers therapy Study length: 1 year Hand MVE Williams, 1989 UE only Industry: Garment mfg. Other interventions: None Subjects: 14 exercisers; 16 controls Other interventions: None (similar jobs); company selected Thermography Study length: 10 weeks UE & other Industry: Telephone company Other interventions: Physical therapy; work methods change; Study length: 1 year Workstation modification Thomas, 1993 UE only Industry: Hardware mfg. Other interventions: None Subjects: 7 symptomatic exercisers; Other interventions: None Hand MVE I Subjects: 7 symptomatic controls; company selected Other interventions: None Subjects: 7 symptomatic controls; company selected Other interventions: None Subjects: 7 symptomatic controls; company selected Subjects: None Study length: 8 weeks Hand MVE	Industry: Dental floss mfg.	Other interventions: Physical			
Study length: 1 year UE only Hand MVE N NT Williams, 1989 UE only Hand MVE N NT Industry: Garment mfg. Other interventions: None Phalen's Test N NT subjects: 14 exercisers; 16 controls Thermography N NT (similar jobs); company selected Thermography N NT Study length: 10 weeks UE & other Case incidence Y NT Industry: Telephone company Other interventions: Physical therapy; work methods change; Case incidence Y NT Study length: 1 year Workstation modification Motor NCV N N Thomas, 1993 UE only Motor NCV N N Subjects: 7 symptomatic exercisers; Other interventions: None Hand MVE I N Subjects: 7 symptomatic controls; company selected Study length: 8 weeks I N N	Subjects: 178 assemblers and packers	therapy			
Williams, 1989 UE only Hand MVE N NT Industry: Garment mfg. Other interventions: None Phalen's Test N NT Subjects: 14 exercisers; 16 controls Image: Study length: 10 weeks N NT NT Study length: 10 weeks UE & other Case incidence Y NT Industry: Telephone company UE & other Case incidence Y NT Industry: Telephone company Other interventions: Physical Case incidence Y NT Subjects: 500 operators therapy; work methods change; Workstation modification N N Thomas, 1993 UE only Motor NCV N N Industry: Hardware mfg. Other interventions: None Hand MVE I N Subjects: 7 symptomatic exercisers; Other interventions: None Body part discomfort N N Subjects: 8 weeks Keeks Keeks Keeks Keeks Keeks Keeks	Study length: 1 year				
Industry: Garment mfg. Other interventions: None Phalen's Test N NT Subjects: 14 exercisers; 16 controls Thermography N NT Subjects: 10 weeks Tadano, 1990 UE & other Case incidence Y NT Industry: Telephone company Other interventions: Physical Case incidence Y NT Subjects: 500 operators therapy; work methods change; workstation modification Motor NCV N N Industry: Hardware mfg. UE only Motor NCV N N N Subjects: 7 symptomatic exercisers; Other interventions: None Hand MVE I N Subjects: 7 symptomatic controls; company selected Study length: 8 weeks Keeks Keeks Keeks Keeks	Williams, 1989	UE only	Hand MVE	N	NT
Subjects: 14 exercisers; 16 controls Image: Subjects: 10 weeks Thermography N NT (similar jobs); company selected Study length: 10 weeks UE & other Case incidence Y NT Tadano, 1990 UE & other Case incidence Y NT Industry: Telephone company Other interventions: Physical therapy; work methods change; workstation modification Motor NCV N N Thomas, 1993 UE only Motor NCV N N Industry: Hardware mfg. Other interventions: None Hand MVE I N Subjects: 7 symptomatic exercisers; 7 nonsymptomatic controls; company selected Subjects: 8 weeks N N	Industry: Garment mfg.	Other interventions: None	Phalen's Test	Ν	NT
(similar jobs); company selected Study length: 10 weeks Tadano, 1990 UE & other Case incidence Y NT Industry: Telephone company Other interventions: Physical therapy; work methods change; Case incidence Y NT Subjects: 500 operators therapy; work methods change; Workstation modification Motor NCV N N Thomas, 1993 UE only Motor NCV N N N Industry: Hardware mfg. Other interventions: None Hand MVE I N Subjects: 7 symptomatic exercisers; Other interventions: None Body part discomfort N N Subjected Study length: 8 weeks Hand Study Length: 8 week	Subjects: 14 exercisers; 16 controls		Thermography	Ν	NT
Study length: 10 weeks UE & other Case incidence Y NT Industry: Telephone company Other interventions: Physical Case incidence Y NT Subjects: 500 operators therapy; work methods change; Case incidence Y NT Study length: 1 year workstation modification Motor NCV N N Industry: Hardware mfg. UE only Motor NCV N N Subjects: 7 symptomatic exercisers; Other interventions: None Hand MVE I N Subjects: 7 symptomatic controls; company selected Subjects: 8 weeks N N	(similar jobs); company selected				
Tadano, 1990 UE & other Case incidence Y NT Industry: Telephone company Other interventions: Physical Industry: Telephone company Nt Nt Subjects: 500 operators therapy; work methods change; Nt Nt Nt Study length: 1 year workstation modification Nt Nt Industry: Hardware mfg. UE only Motor NCV N Nt Subjects: 7 symptomatic exercisers; Other interventions: None Hand MVE I Nt 7 nonsymptomatic controls; company selected Subjects: 8 weeks Nt Nt Nt	Study length: 10 weeks				
Industry: Telephone company Other interventions: Physical therapy; work methods change; workstation modification Image: Company of the interventions: Physical therapy; work methods change; workstation modification Image: Company of the interventions: Physical therapy; work methods change; workstation modification Motor NCV N Thomas, 1993 UE only Motor NCV N N Industry: Hardware mfg. Other interventions: None Hand MVE I N Subjects: 7 symptomatic exercisers; Other interventions: None Body part discomfort N N selected Study length: 8 weeks I Image: Company of the intervention of the interventi	Tadano, 1990	UE & other	Case incidence	Y	NT
Subjects: 500 operators therapy; work methods change; Workstation modification Motor NCV N Thomas, 1993 UE only Motor NCV N N Industry: Hardware mfg. Other interventions: None Hand MVE I N Subjects: 7 symptomatic exercisers; Other interventions: None Body part discomfort N N selected Study length: 8 weeks Herefore I I N	Industry: Telephone company	Other interventions: Physical			
Study length: 1 year workstation modification Motor NCV N Thomas, 1993 UE only Motor NCV N N Industry: Hardware mfg. Other interventions: None Hand MVE I N Subjects: 7 symptomatic exercisers; Other interventions: None Body part discomfort N N r nonsymptomatic controls; company selected Study length: 8 weeks I I N	Subjects: 500 operators	therapy; work methods change;			
Thomas, 1993 UE only Motor NCV N N Industry: Hardware mfg. Other interventions: None Hand MVE I N Subjects: 7 symptomatic exercisers; Body part discomfort N N 7 nonsymptomatic controls; company selected Subjects I N	Study length: 1 year	workstation modification			
Industry: Hardware mfg. Other interventions: None Hand MVE I N Subjects: 7 symptomatic exercisers; Body part discomfort N N 7 nonsymptomatic controls; company selected Subjects: 8 weeks I I N	Thomas, 1993	UE only	Motor NCV	N	N
Subjects: 7 symptomatic exercisers; Body part discomfort N 7 nonsymptomatic controls; company selected Image: Control of the second seco	Industry: Hardware mfg.	Other interventions: None	Hand MVE	Ι	N
7 nonsymptomatic controls; company selected Study length: 8 weeks	Subjects: 7 symptomatic exercisers;		Body part discomfort	N	N
selected Study length: 8 weeks	7 nonsymptomatic controls; company				
Study length: 8 weeks	selected				
	Study length: 8 weeks				

Notes: I = Inconclusive; MSD = Musculoskeletal Disorder; MVE = Maximum Voluntary Exertion; NCV = Nerve Conduction Velocity; NT = No Testing Described; UE = Upper Extremity.

complaints of at least 2 weeks duration (van der Heuval, et al 106). Volunteers were randomly assigned to one of two intervention groups where breaks were required by software-controlled work interruptions, or to a control group having no forced breaks.

In one intervention group, a 5-minute rest was imposed after every 35 minutes of work. In the second intervention group, 45 seconds of software-directed upper extremity and trunk exercises (specifics not described) started each 5-minute rest period.

Follow-up questionnaires were completed by 219 participants—74 from the control group, 79 from the break group and 66 from the exercise and break group. Self-reported complaint severity and frequency decreased for all three groups, but no significant between-group differences were found for symptoms or duration of sick leave. A significantly greater perception of recovery was observed for both intervention groups.

Melhorn Study: Aircraft Workers

Melhorn conducted a study of 212 aircraft workers to evaluate the effectiveness of three interventions: an exercise program, an ergonomics training program and a tool-vibration-dampening modification to a pneumatic rivet gun (Melhorn 1264). The study spanned 15 months with assessments conducted at the start and end of the 8-month study, and at a 7-month follow-up. The outcome variable, injury risk (0 to 7 scale), was a model constructed by combining physical measures, questionnaire data and noninvasive "nerve sensitivity testing."

A significant decrease in injury risk occurred among those in the ergonomics training program, but no main effect was observed for the program that included exercises for the upper extremities and back, or the tool modification. Significant effects of exercise were observed for covariate factors included in the risk model (dominant hand, number of parts processed), but the practical significance was unclear.

Eriksen Study: Postal Workers

Eriksen, et al reported results of a 12-week study with postal workers randomly assigned to one of three experimental groups or a control group (Eriksen, et al 383). Of the 1,061 worker volunteers who completed the pretest questionnaire, 472 worker volunteers completed the investigation of a worksite ex-

Table 2

More Recent Exercise Study Characteristics & Findings

Study	Exercise Type	Outcome Measures	Positive Finding?	Statistical Significance
Hilver, et al. 1990	UE & other	Flexibility	Y	Y
Industry: Municipal firefighters	Other interventions: None	Injury rate	Ň	Ň
Subjects: 251 exercise; 218 control		Medical cost	N	N
Study length: 6 months; 2 year f/u		Lost time	Y	Y
Genaidy, et al, 1995	UE & other	Perceived discomfort	Y	Y
Industry: Meatpacking	Other interventions: None			
Subjects: 28 exercise (microbreak)				
Study length: 2 weeks				
Melhorn, 1996	Mainly UE	Injury risk	N	N
Industry: Aircraft manufacturing	Other interventions: Pneumatic			(some positive
Subjects: 212 total in 8 groups (2 control)	rivet gun modification;			covariate
testing 3 factors: rivet gun ergo training	ergonomics training			effects)
exercise				
Study length: 15 months				
Seradge, et al, 2000	UE only	WC loss ratio	Y	NT
Industry: Meatpacking	Other interventions: None	Injury rate, MSD	Y	NT
Subjects: 286 exercise		Injury rate, CTS	Y	
Study length: 1 year				
Eriksen, et al, 2002	UE & other	Job stress	N	N
Industry: Postal service (office workers)	Other interventions: General	Health complaints	N	N
Subjects: 114 exercise; 94 exercise &	health education program;	Lost time	N	N
health ed; 98 stress mgmt.; 166 control	stress management training	Subj. improvement	Y	Y
Study length: 12 weeks		D 1 1		L
Van der Heuval, et al, 2003	UE only	Perceived recovery	Y	Y
Industry: Computer workers	Other interventions: None,	Complaint frequency	Y	N
Subjects: 66 rest and exercise; 79 rest only;	other than substitution of rest	Complaint severity	Y	N
74 control		Lost-time cost	N	IN
Study length: 5 months	l	1		

experimental activity, carpal tunnel pressures were found to decrease for the final 15 minutes of data collection.

The exercise program was the only intervention introduced during the study period and it was mandatory for all production workers. The program was performed once daily at the beginning of each shift. The authors noted a decrease in MSDUE cases reported (exclusive of CTS), from 40 to 25 (37%), and a decrease in CTS cases reported from 55 to 22 (45.4%) during the year of the exercise intervention as compared to the previous year's reports. The workers' compensation loss ratio (the ratio of compensable medical and losttime cost to insurance premium) improved by 16.5% over the one-year period. Although these results are encouraging, the study was not controlled, which introduces the potential for confounding by other factors. The statistical significance of the results was not reported.

Notes: CTS = Carpal Tunnel Syndrome; MSD = Musculoskeletal Disorder; NT = No Testing Described; UE = Upper Extremity.

ercise program. A group of 114 workers completed the exercise program, the goal of which was to improve muscular capacity, strength and flexibility while maintaining the heart rate at 70 to 80% of maximum. Exercises of the neck, back, arms and shoulders, adapted from an aerobic dance program, were performed for one hour twice a week. A group of 94 workers received health education training as well as exercise during a 2-hour session conducted once per week; 98 received stress management training; and 166 controls received no interventions.

No significant difference in self-reported duration of sick leave, pain or subjective health assessment based on pre-test and post-test questionnaires was observed for any experimental group as compared to the control, in a study that was hampered by a very high dropout rate. Because the results were not specific to body part, effects specific to the upper extremities could not be determined.

Seradge Study: Meatpacking Plant

Seradge, et al reported on a one-year study of an upper extremity exercise program with 286 workers at a meatpacking plant [Seradge, et al(c) 150]. The 3-minute "carpal tunnel decompression exercise" program was developed based on the authors' observations from a previous study of in vivo carpal tunnel pressures measured in 92 subjects (102 hands) with carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS) [Seradge, et al(b) 855]. The tunnel pressures were recorded during a series of wrist and finger movements; following the

Discussion

A literature search identified six articles investigating the effectiveness of worksite exercise programs in addition to the eight articles previously reviewed (McGorry and Courtney 22). Five of the six newly reviewed studies (the exception being the Melhorn study) found a positive main effect for at least one of the outcome measures following the introduction of an exercise intervention. Participant flexibility improved in one study (Hilyer, et al 631). The study conducted by Genaidy, et al showed a positive effect for the sole outcome measure of perceived discomfort. Ambiguous or negative results were found for the exercise intervention groups in three studies (van der Heuval, et al 106; Melhorn 1264; Eriksen, et al 383).

The results with respect to the more pertinent and direct outcome measures of disability or injury cost varied greatly across the studies. Of the six articles reviewed, the Hilyer, et al study with a cohort of firefighters provided the strongest support for worksite exercise programs (Hilyer, et al 631). Participants not only demonstrated improved flexibility, but also showed significant reduction in lost-time costs. However, injury and cost data were not reported by body part, so it is impossible to conclude with confidence that the effect was observed specifically for the upper extremities.

The other study that reported a positive effect on upper extremity disease in general and on CTS specifically was the worksite exercise intervention instituted at a meatpacking plant [Seradge, et al(c) 150]. Results of this study must be considered with great care since it was conducted without a control group and the results were reported without significance testing. In contrast, van der Heuval, et al and Eriksen, et al found no significant effect of an exercise intervention on sick leave (van der Heuval, et al 106; Eriksen, et al 383).

Research Implications

Looking across all 14 studies reviewed, the authors noted a high degree of variability in study methods and outcomes that might account in part for the mixed results. More rigorous and consistently applied methods could improve the understanding of the role of worksite exercise. Good experimental design principles include random assignment of subjects to conditions, the use of control group(s), variables that are objectively measurable and are directly relevant to the desired health outcomes, and the application of statistical hypothesis testing. [For further exploration of the components and attributes of good experimental design, see Courtney (33).]

Only 7 of the 14 studies reviewed used a concurrent control group. The others either used pretest/post-test designs or were not controlled. For outcome measures, studies reported one or more of the following: five studies reported physical measures (e.g., flexibility, maximum voluntary exertion); seven reported subjective measures (e.g., body part discomfort, perceived recovery); and seven reported a measure directly relevant to injury or disability (e.g., injury rate, lost time, medical cost). Nine of the 14 studies employed statistical testing.

Only three of the studies used a randomized, controlled design with statistical testing of outcome measures directly related to injury or cost (Hilyer, et al 631; Eriksen, et al 383; van der Heuval, et al 106). Of these, only the Hilver, et al study reported a positive effect, showing a significant reduction in lost time. The ability to generalize these results is limited, however, since outcomes were not reported by body part and because firefighters may not be representative of the general work population due to rigorous fitness-for-duty requirements. The Eriksen and van der Heuval studies reported nonsignificant effects of their respective interventions on lost time. These studies were of shorter duration (approximately three months), and the Eriksen study, in particular, was hampered by a high dropout rate.

Fitness/Wellness Programs

This literature review did not include employersponsored wellness or fitness programs. However, it is plausible that such programs could help workers be less prone to work-related injury, require less medical care, use less sick time and, ultimately, be more productive. It is widely held within the physical rehabilitation community that poor physical conditioning increases the risk of injury, whether in the sports arena or the workplace. Employer-sponsored fitness programs can range from the fully equipped on-site fitness centers to offsite programs subsidized by the employer. The goal of these programs is to promote general fitness and health. Participation is typically voluntary and takes place outside of working hours. When properly used, such programs would be expected to produce a positive general effect on employee health, but the literature does not support their efficacy from an economic (cost-benefit) or injury/disability perspective.

As a case in point, Proper, et al showed that providing a series of seven health promotion consultations produced significant improvements in cardiovascular and respiratory function [Proper, et al(a) 34]. However, this study and others (Nurimen, et al 85; Tsai, et al 475) have not clearly demonstrated the cost effectiveness of such programs in terms of reducing medical utilization or absenteeism. Several comprehensive reviews are available on this topic [Proper, et al(b) 75; Proper, et al(c) 106; Aldana 296; Dishman, et al 344]. In addition, some recent studies have evaluated the application of exercise specifically as a treatment approach for diagnosed CTS [Garfinkel, et al 1601; Rozmaryn, et al 171; Nathan, et al 840; Seradge, et al(a) 7; Akalin, et al 108]. Results are still inconclusive.

Reflections on Practice

Based on the results of these two literature reviews, the authors offer the following considerations for SH&E professionals evaluating the implementation of a worksite exercise program as a control strategy for MSDUEs.

First, consider the nature of the tasks that workers perform. Observe working postures realizing that static postures of the head and trunk or upper extremities maintained for long periods can be detrimental just as are highly repetitive movements. The first approach should always be to modify the job or workplace to better accommodate the worker.

Participants should be screened for medical conditions for which the exercise may be contraindicated. Additional time should be allocated for exercising, and time should not be diverted from rest breaks or other existing recovery pauses. Exercises should not be embarrassing to perform or disruptive to the workplace. Active exercises that could aggravate existing conditions should be avoided (McGorry and Courtney 22).

If jobs require maintaining the shoulders or arms at non-neutral postures, the program should emphasize active and passive range of motion exercises to promote stretching of soft tissues and circulation to that body part. Exercises should promote passive and active movement of the affected body part without significant loading. Resistive exercises, typically used for strengthening, are generally not appropriate as part of a worksite program as they can potentially increase exposure (OSHA 14).

The intention of this article is to provide an unbiased review of the literature and should not be considered an endorsement of any exercise program. In More rigorous and consistently applied methods could improve the understanding of the role of worksite exercise. the end, ergonomic interventions should be based on a thorough job and worksite evaluation by an appropriately trained and credentialed professional. Finally, before implementing a workplace exercise program, a healthcare professional with the appropriate training should be consulted.

Taken as a whole, the literature specific to worksite exercise programs implemented as a control for MSDUEs is not very compelling.

Conclusion

Despite a decade of additional research on exercise programs, the results of this review concur with the conclusions of the previous review. Taken as a whole, the literature specific to worksite exercise programs implemented as a control for MSDUEs is not very compelling. This finding may reflect the lack of a focused, sponsored, peer-reviewed research initiative in this area by funding agencies that would influence the development of stronger studies.

The most positive support for exercise continues to be in those studies in which exercise was included as part of a more comprehensive ergonomics program approach to controlling MSDUEs, including engineering and administrative controls. The lack of a consensus across multiple research studies illustrates the continued need for well-designed, prospective studies with clearly defined interventions and effective control of confounding factors.

References

Akalin, E., et al. "Treatment of Carpal Tunnel Syndrome with Nerve and Tendon Gliding Exercises." *American Journal of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*. 81(2002): 108-113.

Aldana, S.G. "Financial Impact of Health Promotion Programs: A Comprehensive Review of the Literature." *American Journal of Health Promotion*. 15(2001): 296-320.

Courtney, T.K. "Applying Research in Reality: A Rationale and Systematic Approach." *Professional Safety.* 42(1997): 33-38. Dishman, R.K., et al. "Worksite Physical Activity Interven-

tions." American Journal of Preventative Medicine. 15(1998): 344-361.

Eriksen, H.R., et al. "Improving Subjective Health at the Worksite: A Randomized Controlled Trial of Stress Management Training, Physical Exercise and an Integrated Health Program." *Occupational Medicine (London).* 52(2002): 383-391. Garfinkel, M.S., et al. "Yoga-Based Intervention for Carpal

Garfinkel, M.S., et al. "Yoga-Based Intervention for Carpal Tunnel Syndrome: A Randomized Trial." *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 280(1998): 1601-1603.

Genaidy, A.M., et al. "Active Microbreak Effects on Musculoskeletal Comfort Ratings in Meatpacking Plants." *Ergonomics*. 38(1995): 326-336.

General Accounting Office (GAO). Worker Protection: Private Sector Ergonomics Programs Yield Positive Results. GAO/HEHS-97-163. Washington, DC: GAO, 1997. <<u>http://www.gao.gov/ar</u> chive/1997/he97163.pdf>.

Hansford, T., et al. "Blood Flow Changes in the Wrist in Manual Workers after Preventive Interventions." *Journal of Hand Surgery*. 11A(1986): 503-508.

Hilyer, J.C., et al. "A Flexibility Intervention to Reduce the Incidence and Severity of Joint Injuries among Municipal Fire-fighters." *Journal of Occupational Medicine*. 32(1990): 631-637.

Laporte, W. "The Influence of a Gymnastic Pause upon Recovery Following Post Office Work." Ergonomics. 9(1966): 501-506. Liberty Mutual Insurance Co. "Liberty Mutual Workplace

Safety Index, 2004." Boston: Liberty Mutual, 2004.

McGorry, R.W. and T.K. Courtney. "Exercise and Cumulative Trauma Disorders: The Jury Is Still Out." *Professional Safety.* 40(1995): 22-25.

Melhorn, J.M. "A Prospective Study for Upper-Extremity Cumulative Trauma Disorders of Workers in Aircraft Manufacturing." Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine. 38(1996): 1264-1271.

Nathan, P.A., et al. "Effects of an Aerobic Exercise Program on

Median Nerve Conduction and Symptoms Associated with Carpal Tunnel Syndrome." Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine. 43(2001): 840-843.

National Occupational Health and Safety Commission (NOHSC). Guidance Note for the Prevention of Occupational Overuse Syndrome in the Manufacturing Industry. 2nd ed. NOHSC Publication 3105. Canberra, Australia: Australian Government Publishing Service, 1996.

National Research Council (NRC). Musculoskeletal Disorders and the Workplace: Low Back and Extremities. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 2001.

NIOSH(a). Elements of Ergonomics Programs. DHHS/NIOSH Publication 97-117. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, NIOSH, 1997. <<u>http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/97-117pd.html</u>>.

NIOSH(b). Musculoskeletal Disorders and Workplace Factors. DHHS/NIOSH Publication 97-141. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, NIOSH, 1997. <<u>http://www.cdc.gov/</u> <u>niosh/97-141pd.html</u>>.

Nurminen, E., et al. "Effectiveness of a Worksite Exercise Program with Respect to Perceived Work Ability and Sick Leaves among Women with Physical Work." *Scandinavian Journal of Work* and Environmental Health. 28(2002): 85-93.

OSHA. "Ergonomics Program Management Guidelines for Meatpacking Plants." Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Labor, OSHA, 1993. <<u>http://www.osha.gov/Publications/osha3123.pdf</u>>.

Proper, K.I., et al(a). "Costs, Benefits and Effectiveness of Worksite Physical Activity Counseling from the Employer's Perspective." Scandinavian Journal of Work and Environmental Health.

30(2004): 34-36. **Proper, K.I., et al(b).** "Effectiveness of Physical Activity Programs at Warksites with Perpect to Work Palated Outcomes" Scar

grams at Worksites with Respect to Work-Related Outcomes." Scandinavian Journal of Work and Environmental Health. 28(2002): 75-84.

Proper, K.I., et al(c). "The Effectiveness of Worksite Physical Activity Programs on Physical Activity, Physical Fitness and Health." *Clinical Journal of Sports Medicine*. 13(2003): 106-117. Rozmaryn, L.M., et al. "Nerve and Tendon Gliding Exercises

Rozmaryn, L.M., et al. "Nerve and Tendon Gliding Exercises and the Conservative Management of Carpal Tunnel Syndrome." *Journal of Hand Therapy*. 11(1998): 171-179.

Sawyer, K. "An On-Site Exercise Program to Prevent Carpal Tunnel Syndrome." *Professional Safety*. 32(1987): 17-20.

Seradge, H., et al(a). "Conservative Treatment of Carpal Tunnel Syndrome: An Outcome Study of Adjunct Exercises." Journal of the Oklahoma State Medical Association. 95(2002): 7-14.

Seradge, H., et al(b). "In Vivo Measurement of Carpal Tunnel Pressure in the Functioning Hand." *Journal of Hand Surgery*. 20(1995): 855-859.

Seradge, H., et al(c). "Preventing Carpal Tunnel Syndrome and Cumulative Trauma Disorder: Effect of Carpal Tunnel Decompression Exercises: An Oklahoma Experience." *Journal of the Oklahoma State Medical Association*. 93(2000): 150-153.

Silverstein, B.A., et al(a). "Can In-Plant Exercise Control Musculoskeletal Symptoms?" *Journal of Occupational Medicine*. 30(1988): 922-927.

Silverstein, B.A., et al(b). "Occupational Factors and Carpal Tunnel Syndrome." American Journal of Industrial Medicine. 11(1987): 343-358.

Tadano, P. "A Safety/Prevention Program for VDT Operators: One Company's Approach." Journal of Hand Therapy. 3(1990): 64-71.

Thomas, R.E., et al. "Effects of Exercise on Carpal Tunnel Symptoms." Applied Ergonomics. 24(1993): 101-108.

Îsai, S.P., et al. "Injury Prevalence and Associated Costs among Participants of an Employee Fitness Program." *Preventative Medicine*. 17(1988): 475-482.

van den Heuvel, S.G., et al. "Effects of Software Programs Stimulating Regular Breaks and Exercises on Work-Related Neck and Upper-Limb Disorders." *Scandinavian Journal of Work and Environmental Health.* 29(2003): 106-116.

Willems, J.H. "Repetitive Strain Injury: A Report from the Health Council of the Netherlands." *Ned Tijdschr Geneeskd*. 146(2002): 1969-1970.

Williams, T.L., et al. "Exercise as a Prophylactic Device Against Carpal Tunnel Syndrome." *Proceedings of the Human Factors Society 33rd Annual Meeting*. Santa Monica, CA: Human Factors Society, 1989. 723-727.