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Abstract

Globalization can be defined as the industrialization of the world. However, a more pragmatic definition might be the transfer of manufacturing from Established Economic Markets (USA and European Community as defined by the World Bank) to ‘developing’ economic markets. As such, the transference of manufacturing to areas with little or no infrastructure to ensure the health and safety of their workforces, these economic markets find that their rates of work-related injuries have skyrocketed. Although the globalization of economies has brought economic growth and new prosperity to many regions of the world, it has also brought with it an increasing safety concern. Although there are certainly threats to workplace health and safety, there are also opportunities for the international community. Worldwide cooperation, exchange of information, exchange of stories of success and failure, and international networks of Ergonomists, Industrial Hygienists, Safety Professionals and Public Health Professionals will create a collaboration that will be part of the solution than part of the problem through individual efforts. Approaches such as: (1) grass-roots citizen efforts; (2) consumer efforts; (3) professional collaborations; (4) publication of success stories; (5) and a focus on practical solutions that have an immediate impact on worker health and safety while supporting productivity and competitiveness will have an impact on improving working conditions around the world. The world is truly a small place, where the impact is one region is truly felt globally.

Introduction

The demands of new technologies and growing economies have led to the globalization of manufacturing, assembly and service industries. The globalization of the workplace and the multi-national configuration of organizations have created an environment for personnel and managers of constant change within the area of occupational and environmental health in which safety, human factors and ergonomics play vital roles. Ergonomists, Safety and Human Factors Specialists and Industrial Hygienists often find it difficult to stay abreast of current information needed to stay in the forefront of important workplace issues. The most critical areas of concern are: communication, understanding, cultural differences and educational level of the workforce.

The international Labor Office (ILO, 2005) indicated that 2.2 million people die of work-related accidents and diseases each year, that’s about 5,000 per day, every day. However, due to poor
reporting and surveillance stems in many countries, the number is probably vastly under estimated. Such poor reporting and lack of surveillance is due to rapid development and strong competitive pressures of globalization. Approximately 4% of the world’s GNP is lost due to work related injuries and deaths.

The WHO estimates that only about 10 to 15% of workers worldwide have some kind of access to occupational health services, and extending coverage is a key challenge.

What do such work-related injuries and illness result from?

- Work-related malaria
- Other communicable diseases
- Cancers from exposure to hazardous substances no longer used in ‘developed’ countries
- A global workforce that lacks legal or preventative health measures
- No accident or illness compensation
- Non acceptance of the work-relatedness of injury
- Wages paid by maquiladora-type facilities exceed local wages
- Workers need to feed their families
- Personal protective equipment has more value in the black market
- Accident hazards are more prevalent in ‘developing’ economies
- Lack of education implies employment in high-hazard industries
- ‘Industrialized’ economies are more service economies

Using the United States as an example (Figure 1), the number of native born injuries is declining while the number of foreign-born injuries is increasing. They have only recently declined as a result of globalization and the exportation of jobs to developing economies.
Further, the injured workforce is transitioning away from the tradition workforce to the largest growing immigrant group in the Unites States (Figure 2). The reason for this transition is that many of these immigrants are working in the highest hazard industries due to lower educational attainment. However, it is important to note that these data may not be representative of the actual number due to the large number of undocumented workers who are working in the most hazardous of these industries.

Recently published statistics are provided to establish relation between occupational injuries and safety in the workplace in relation to the growth of a globalized marketplace. To do so, information from the World Bank are used to evaluate the growth of economies worldwide, and these ratios will be compared to the estimate number of Expected annual cases of Occupational injuries and diseases as established by Leigh, Macaskill, Kuosma and Madryk (1999).

**Economic Growth in the Global Market**

In order to understand globalization, statistics from the World Bank were used to analyze the economic growth of the different economic regions around the world. For this purpose, eight distinct economic regions were identified following the guidelines of the World Bank (these regions were also used by Leigh, Macaskill, Kuosma and Madryk (1999). These regions are described in Table 1.
Table 1. Economic region and their abbreviation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Latin America and Caribbean</td>
<td>LAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East Crescent</td>
<td>MEC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub Saharan Africa</td>
<td>SSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>IND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>CHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Asia and Pacific</td>
<td>EAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Established Economic Markets (USA and EC)</td>
<td>EME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other European and Central Asia</td>
<td>OTH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For each of these regions, three key statistics were analyzed over the last 5 years. These were Exports of Goods and Services as a percentage of the Gross Domestic Product, the Annual GDP growth and the Net Inflow in US dollars of Foreign Direct Investment, and their change in the last Five Years\(^1\). The results are summarized in the following tables.

Table 2. Foreign direct investment by region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Exports of Goods and Services</th>
<th>Foreign Direct Investment</th>
<th>GDP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LAC</td>
<td>21.00%</td>
<td>$78,916,796,416.00</td>
<td>$1,983,911,297,024.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27.00%</td>
<td>$42,399,997,952.00</td>
<td>$2,018,714,845,184.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28.57%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>46.27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEC</td>
<td>28.00%</td>
<td>$4,264,300,032.00</td>
<td>$470,766,387,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29.00%</td>
<td>$4,100,000,000.00</td>
<td>$600,256,282,624.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.57%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27.51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSA</td>
<td>33.00%</td>
<td>$6,339,500,032.00</td>
<td>$331,426,168,832.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33.00%</td>
<td>$11,299,999,744.00</td>
<td>$543,990,382,592.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>14.00%</td>
<td>$2,496,041,984.00</td>
<td>$457,376,923,648.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15.00%</td>
<td>$4,268,999,936.00</td>
<td>$691,876,265,984.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.14%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As is clear from these statistics, regions like India, Africa and China have seen an explosion in their economic indicators over the last 5 years in exports and investment, as was expected from the globalization of corporations. As a result regions like the Established Economic Markets and, to a lesser extent, Latin America, have experienced negative growth in their Foreign Direct Investment and Exports of goods and services.

**Occupational Injuries in the Global Market**

This globalization of markets brings along injuries, and although accurate numbers over the last years are hard to obtain, Leigh, Macaskill Kuosma and Mandryk (1999) came out with estimates and predictions based on their findings of the expected number of Occupational injuries and diseases based on the different regions established above. Based on their findings, the following table (Table 3) summarizes the total population, the injuries (fatal and nonfatal) and their respective percentages.

**Table 3. Summary of total populations by region and their fatal and non-fatal injury rates.**
The result that stands out is India’s. In a country that has seen an economic explosion over the last decade, where the work population is nearly 30% of the total, 5.25% of the working population suffered from non-fatal injuries and 0.0139% from fatal injuries. This number is alarming high compared to other regions, and demonstrates how globalization impacts the work place. Furthermore, many of these countries do not have the infrastructure to ensure the health and safety of the workforce, whether they have laws in place or not. However, the worker population of China suffers from a larger number of malignant neoplasms and respiratory system diseases than does India, based in part on the significant difference in manufacturing versus service sector work opportunities (Takala, 2005).

Finally, the following table summarizes the expected number of new cases of Occupational Injuries and Diseases per year, and the Economic Ratios. Table 4 indicates how the largest expanding economies in the global market, are also the most critical in terms of safety in the workplace.

Table 4. Predicted number of new cases of occupational injuries per year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LAC</td>
<td>9.89</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>28.57%</td>
<td>-46.27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEC</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>3.57%</td>
<td>-3.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSA</td>
<td>9.02</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>78.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>7.14%</td>
<td>71.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>24.42</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>53.85%</td>
<td>39.34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAP</td>
<td>14.71</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>18.42%</td>
<td>43.74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EME</td>
<td>10.35</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>10.81%</td>
<td>-55.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTH</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-9.09%</td>
<td>-64.04%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The globalization of commerce, services, and industry necessitates international collaboration to ensure the health and safety world-wide. The inherent right of workers to leave work in as good as health as when they arrived is threatened around the world as the economic force of globalization
pressures the enforcement of health and safety regulations to be ignored for both developed and
developing economies (Brown, 2002). As developing economics compete for their spot in
international trade, developed economies struggle to compete against lower wages, larger available
workforces, and lower rates of regulatory enforcement. As a result, the rate of work-related injuries
increase in these developing economies, while they decrease in developed economies.

So what is being done today?

I have had the incredible opportunity to be associated with a number of collaborating universities that
include the Polytechnic University of Catalunya, The University of Texas School of Public Health,
the Javeriana University in Bogotá, Colombia (S.A.) and the Costa Rican Institute of Technology in
Cartago, Costa Rica as an adjunct faculty member in their programs. This is but one vehicle of
demonstrable collaboration and the exchange of ideas. In addition, the following conference and
network can be accessed to continue dialogue and development of collaborative efforts: The 16th
World Congress on Ergonomics, Maastricht, Netherlands, 10-14 July 2006, and participation in the
Global Occupational Health Network
(http://www.who.int/occupational_health/publications/newsletter/en/).

How does this work?

Example 1

Erika Arias Paz, PhD. Biomedical Engineer, from the Polytechnic University of Catalunya, visited the
University of Houston during June & July of 2005. During this period, Ms. Arias worked with
Carmen Madriz-Quiros and me to develop the dissertation research topic of Ms. Madriz and the
research procedures to be used. Ms. Madriz went to Javeriana University in Bogotá, Colombia to
collect the Phase I data of her research and then went to Costa Rica to collect her Phase II research in
the banana plantations of Costa Rica. Ms Madriz developed an ergonomics risk determination tool
for dynamic work in the agricultural industries. Ms. Madriz has recently returned to Costa Rica to
resume her duties as a Professor of Ergonomics and Safety and the Costa Rican Institute of
Technology

Example 2

The National Institutes of Health, a sub organization of the Centers for Disease Control and
Prevention has responded through the International Training and Research Program in Environmental
an Occupational Health (ITROEH). The ITROEH is a collaboration among the John E. Fogarty
International Center, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, the National Institute for
Occupational and Environmental Health (NIOSH), the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease
Registry and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. During the first 5 years (1995 – 2000)
the ITROEH focused on epidemiology, risk assessment, and surveillance. During the second cycle
(2001 – 2006) the focus was expanded to include prevention and intervention research to reduce risks
in collaborating countries (Claudio, 2003). Four areas of collaboration have been established; the
four (4) areas of current collaboration are presented in Table 5
Table 5. Current areas of ITREOH collaboration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Americas</th>
<th>Europe</th>
<th>Africa</th>
<th>Asia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>Belarus</td>
<td>Zimbabwe</td>
<td>Pakistan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>Botswana</td>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td>Czech</td>
<td>Lesotho</td>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>Vietnam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td></td>
<td>Thailand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td></td>
<td>Philippines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uruguay</td>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>Yugoslavia</td>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusions

Hiba (2005) presented ten (10) safety and health challenges for the twenty-first century. These are presented here as challenges for Ergonomists in the environment of globalization. Additional areas of challenge concentration are provided.

Challenge 1

Integrate the concepts of working conditions and working environment into occupational safety and health, not just see these conditions as separate concerns (e.g., IH vs. safety).

Challenge 2

Build ergonomics into occupational safety and health, into occupational safety and health instead of treating them as separate programs

Challenge 3

Promote more active cooperation between workers and employers (e.g., unions vs. management)

Challenge 4

Encourage the extension of safety culture in education, in the family and at work (e.g., safety, ergonomics, etc. is 24/7).

Challenge 5

Better reporting and surveillance. Encourage the reporting of accidents and injuries as a method of controlling risk.

Challenge 6
Improve the quality and scope of inspection. Look at inspection is a method of continuous improvement.

Challenge 7

Expand ergonomics and safety from large companies to small and micro companies and to the informal sector.

Challenge 8

Communicate the vision and model of a national workplace safety and health system as modeled in Figure 5 (below).

Challenge 9

Build occupational safety and health values into national education, such as being conducted by the International Ergonomics Association Committee, Ergonomics for Children in Educational Environments (ECEE).

![Diagram]

**Figure 5.** Strategic approach to addressing work-related injuries due to globalization. Adapted from Takala, ILO, 2005.
Challenge 10
Converge occupational health, safety and environmental standards, instead of having separate standards for different regions.

Additional challenges

Challenge 11
Communicate hazard recognition and hazard control in a manner that the local workforce is accustomed to. Understand that globalization and the transfer of technology have created the diffusion of risk communication to populations not used to such communication.

Challenge 12
Accommodate tools, workstations, etc. to the local workforce. Ensure that the appropriate (local) anthropometric data is used to specify tools, equipment etc.

Challenge 13
Educate the workforce to a level of comprehension of task requirements, task risks, and risk control

Challenge 14
Integrate ergonomics, human factors, safety, health, and environmental protection into a culture of continuous quality improvement.

Challenge 15
Disseminate successes and failures to the widest range of professionals in the shortest time possible so that the impact of ergonomics can keep pace with the rapid changes induced by globalization.
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